

TEMPLATE JOINT REPORTING OF REGIONAL COMPETITIVENESS FRAMEWORK

21 November 2021

Content

ACRONYMS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BACKGROUND

REGIONAL COMPETITIVENESS FRAMEWORK (KDSD)
ALIGNMENT & LINKAGES WITH NATIONAL POLICIES

PROCESS & METHODOLOGY

CO-CREATION PROCESS
METHODOLOGY
MILESTONES/TIMELINE

OVERVIEW OF PROGRESS TO SUSTAINABILITY

DISTRICT SUSTAINABILITY COMMITMENT
KEY POLICY/PROGRAM HIGHLIGHT

PROGRESS TO SUSTAINABILITY

PLANNING & GOAL SETTING KEY RESULTS
ECONOMIC RESILIENCE KEY RESULTS
SOCIAL RESILIENCE KEY RESULTS
ENVIRONMENTAL & NATURAL RESOURCES KEY RESULTS
INCENTIVES KEY RESULTS
MULTI-STAKEHOLDER COLLABORATION

OPPORTUNITIES & CHALLENGES

REFERENCES

ANNEX

21 November 2021

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Detailed information on the authors and stakeholders/organization supporting the Regional Competitiveness Framework (KDSD) process at the district. All the people and organizations involved in the KDSD Core and Working Teams will be recognized here.

BACKGROUND

[joint reporting information/importance in the realm of reporting about sustainability]

Regional Competitiveness Framework (KDSD)

[Brief information about Regional Competitiveness Framework](#)

Alignment & Linkages With National Policies

Brief information about the alignment of KDSD with national policies and reporting requirements

[detail information on the alignment with One Data Policy, SDGs, Low Carbon Development Indonesia (LCDI), a mandatory report from Ministry of Home Affairs e.g. LPPD, and relevant policies on agriculture or commodities sectors related to reporting mechanism]

PROCESS & METHODOLOGY

According to the KDSD process in the district, this section will explain:

(i) **KDSD Co-Creation Process** including key elements of KDSD implementation process e.g. government commitment

[Detailed information on how KDSD indicators were summarized from national and global frameworks as a mechanism to measure sustainability impact in the jurisdiction. Including alignment and indicator co-creation, public consultation, MoV agreement, and implementation in the district]

(ii) **Methodology:** Process of how the data was collected, who was involved, and how analysis was done

[Detailed information on district's commitment to implement KDSD including baseline data collection, capacity building and technical assistance for KDSD Working Team, data improvement, and generating the KDSD Joint Report.]

21 November 2021

(iii) **Milestones / Timeline** of KDSD implementation process (specific activities or process at district)

[Detailed information on the implementation process of KDSD in the district, specifying important milestones such as KDSD Team Formation, data collection timeline, and monitoring process]

OVERVIEW OF PROGRESS TO SUSTAINABILITY

This section will focus on summarizing sustainability progress of the district based on KDSD indicators to provide an overview.

Section will include a brief discussion on data availability along the KDSD indicators across the 5 aspects (percentage) and a visual (chart/diagram) summarizing this

District Sustainability Commitment

This section will describe district commitments related to sustainability.

[detailed information on district sustainability commitments; including mandates from national or provincial level, and specific commitments and/or issues in the district. This section can use the 'Pledges & Commitments' part from the district's Jurisdictional Profile, with deeper explanation and measured impact.]

Innovation Highlight (insert name of district policy/program)

This section will explore one key policy or program in the district that is having high levels of impact or furthering the sustainability efforts.

[detailed information one key sustainability policy/program in the district, preferably one with multi stakeholders involvement and with high impact and a wide variety of beneficiaries. This section can use the 'Spotlights of Innovation' part from the district's Jurisdictional Profile, with deeper explanation and measured impact.]

For Example: Sintang District can showcase its Sintang Lestari Action Plan in this section, same with their Jurisdictional Profile, with additional information on most recent development and milestones (e.g. integration into RPJMD, monitoring & evaluation results, etc.)

PROGRESS TO SUSTAINABILITY

Key Results


21 November 2021

This section will explore the key results of KDSD indicators for each of the 5 aspects

1. Planning & goal Setting
2. Economic Resilience
3. Social Resilience
4. Environmental & Natural Resources Management
5. Incentives

[Information on the overall data collection status and summary of results on each indicator.

Example:



Planning & Goal Setting: 89,8%

- *District's Regional Medium-Term Planning document has incorporated Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and is also aligned with National and Provincial Medium-Term Planning document*
- *District xx is currently synergizing the RPJMD/RPJP with the Greenhouse Gases Regional Action Plan (RAD-GRK). The priority sectors in the RAD-GRK are forestry and peatlands as well as agriculture.*
- *The results of the Sustainable Development Goals study show that of the 17 Goals, xxx SDG targets have been accommodated under the RPJMD.*

21 November 2021

- Regional progress reports under the SDGs are currently/have not been reported by Regional Organizations/Agencies and other institutions within the district.

Multistakeholder Collaborations

This section will discuss the contributions and collaborations of various stakeholders in making progress toward sustainability in the district. Will also explore any existing multistakeholder forums and their roles in the district.

[detail information on various multistakeholders collaborations in the district, including joint program, collaborative funding, partnership, etc. This section is a further elaboration of 'Key Intervention' part in the Jurisdictional Profile, portraying a more detailed information of the goals of each program, progress and achievements to date, and division of contribution of the stakeholders]

Illustration of 'Key Intervention' section in Jurisdictional Profile



1 District Revenue and Expenditure Budget (APBD). 2 District Development Planning Agency (Bappeda). 3 District Department of Agriculture and Plantation (Disbun). 4 District Department of Food Security and Fisheries (DKPP). 5 District Department of Trade, Cooperatives and SME (Disperindagkop). 6 National Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (KKP). 7 Provincial Department of Marine and Fisheries-West Kalimantan (DKP). 8 District Department of Environment (DLH). 9 WWF Indonesia. 10 Sintang Freshwater Care (SFC). 11 Rainforest Alliance. 12 UNDP-GEF. 13 FOKSBI Sintang.

OPPORTUNITIES & CHALLENGES

This section will explore challenges and opportunities to boost sustainability in the district. This will be in relation (but not limited) to: a. Sustainability progress in the jurisdiction, b. MRV process, c. Collaboration & partnerships, d. Missing data and data gaps.

21 November 2021

[Example:

Challenges

- Insufficient marketing efforts for investment potential & opportunities in the district

Opportunity

- Existing regulations & multistakeholder forums in the district provides pathways to collaborate & achieve sustainable development]

REFERENCES

List

21 November 2021

ANNEX

- Summary list of KDSD Indicators

[Example]

Aspect	Indicator	Sub-Indicator
Aspect Planning and Goals Setting	Indicator 1 Regional development plans that support the achievement of sustainable development goals and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions	<p>1.1 Drafting compliance of the District RPJMD/RPJP based on Strategic Environmental Assessment (KLHS)</p> <p>1.2 . Synergism compliance of RPJMD with RPJMN, Province RPJMD, District RPJP and RKPDes</p> <p>1.3 Drafting compliance of the District RPJMD/RPJP to integrate Environmental Protection and Management Plan(RPPLH)</p> <p>1.4 Adoption compliance RPJMD/RPJP Kabupaten with government agencies in the strategic plan and the working plan.</p> <p>1.5 . Synergism compliance District RPJMD/RPJP with regional action plans for reducing greenhouse gas emissions</p> <p>1.6 RPJMD/RPJP District compliance explains about target plan for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals</p>

	<p>Indicator 2</p> <p>Regional action plans that support the fulfillment of the Sustainable Development Goals and regional Greenhouse Gas emission reductions that support the fulfillment of sustainable development goals and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions</p>	<p>2.1 Availability of policies and/or economic plans in line with the Sustainable Development Targets at the district level</p> <p>2.2. Availability of technical capacity building plans for ASN within local government in implementing the district vision</p> <p>2.3 Environmentally friendly standardization in government and public spaces (Standar Pelayanan Masyarakat pada Fasilitas Publik/ SPM-FP)</p> <p>2.4 Availability of waste management mechanisms; includes rules for limiting the use of plastic waste, utilization of waste & recycling of waste</p> <p>2.5. Availability of major disaster mitigation and contingency plans (forest fires, droughts, floods, etc.)</p>
--	---	--

	<p>Indikator 3</p> <p>Regional spatial plans that support the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals</p>	<p>3.1. RTRWK compliance based on KLHS</p> <p>3.2. Synergism compliance RTRWK with Province RTRW, Island and National</p> <p>3.3. District compliance to using One Map policy in structuring land-use permits RTRWK</p> <p>3.4. Availability of geospatial information according to the One Map policy, including land use, as an implementation guide of the RTRWK</p> <p>3.5. RTRWK synergism with Regional Action Plans for Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions (RADGRK)</p> <p>3.6. Availability of Detailed Spatial Plan (RDTR) Strategic Areas for appropriate environmental strategic areas based on KLHS</p>
	<p>Indicator 4</p> <p>Availability of space for public involvement in regional development planning and implementation</p>	<p>4.1. Compliance with Musrenbang management at the village, sub-district and district levels; including the active involvement of community forums, development partners (CSOs), and business actors throughout the process</p> <p>4.2. Adequate representation of women in the form of formal/informal organizations in the</p>

		<p>development planning process (example : Musreimbang, dll)</p> <p>4.3. The establishment of a Multistakeholder Institutional Forum in the regional development planning process as a means of communication</p> <p>4.4. Implementation of an integrated system of planning, budgeting, procurement of goods/services (e-planning, e-budgeting & e-procurement) in accordance with statutory provisions and accessible to the public</p>
	<p>Indicator 5</p> <p>Disclosure of public information and related complaint mechanisms</p>	<p>5.1. Compliance with the discussion of development issues is carried out openly</p> <p>5.2. The District Information Comission (Pejabat Penyedia Dokumen)is functioning well</p> <p>5.3. Availability of Government Agencies Performance Accountability System (SAKIP) which summarizes the cascading performance of all agencies/services and offices under the district government</p> <p>5.4. Availability of Government Agencies Performance Accountability Report (LAKIP) all Agency/Dinas and Offices under the district government to be accessible</p>

		to the public 5.5. Availability of rules, mechanisms and standards for public complaints 5.6. Achieving the target of handling public complaints
--	--	--

- Detail information on Data alignment and status for each framework (SDGs, RSPO JC, TERPERCAYA, SOURCE-UP, SLRT and other optional framework e.g. LandScale depend on the district)

Example (All benchmarks will be compiled into one matrix)

No	Variables	Sustainable Landscape Goals	Indicators* Bold/underlined = Core, Normal = Landscape-dependent, Italic: Optional	Description	KDSD Alignment
1	Ecosystems	1.1 Protect and restore ecosystems	1.1.1 Natural ecosystem protection	How far the natural ecosystem is protected, by law or other effective strategies	KDSD Indicator: 1.3 Compliance in terms of formulation of District RPJMD/RPJP, which integrates Environmental Protection and Management Plan (RPPLH) 15.1 Achieving targeted size of protected areas within Nature Conservation Area (Kawasan Pelestarian Alam/KPA), Nature Reserve Area (Kawasan Suaka Alam/KSA), and Protected Forests (Hutan Lindung/HL), as stipulated by the national government
			1.1.2 Natural ecosystem conversion	Conversion of natural ecosystems to other land uses, disaggregated by land cover type	
			1.1.3 Natural ecosystem degradation	Degradation of natural ecosystems, disaggregated by land cover type	
			1.1.4 Ecosystem restoration	Restoration of degraded ecosystems, disaggregated by restoration type	KDSD Indicator: 15.3 Achieving targeted increase and restoration/rehabilitation of degraded lands and important catchment areas 15.5 Achieving target on the conservation/ restoration efforts of forest, peatland, Essential Ecosystem Area (Kawasan Ekosistem Esensial/KEE) and High Conservation Value (HCV)
			1.1.5 Natural ecosystem connectivity	Connectivity & fragmentation of the natural ecosystem	
			1.2.1 Threatened species	Changes in threats to threatened species	
			1.2.2 Biodiversity habitat conversion	Conversion of natural ecosystems in areas classified as important for biodiversity including Protected Areas (PAs) and Key Biodiversity Areas (KBAs)	KDSD Indicator: 15.1 Achieving targeted size of protected areas within Nature Conservation Area (Kawasan Pelestarian Alam/KPA), Nature Reserve Area (Kawasan Suaka Alam/KSA), and Protected Forests (Hutan Lindung/HL), as stipulated by the national government

21 November 2021

- Detail information on data collected | Accessible based on request

KUISIONER KERANGKA DAYA SAING DAERAH					
BAGIAN 1. INFORMASI DASAR / STATISTIK					
No	Pertanyaan	Jawaban	Sumber/Referensi	Penyedia Data	Keterangan
1	Nilai Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (IPM) di Kabupaten (angka)	Tahun 2018 = 73,73 %	BPS Kabupaten Siak (https://siakkab.bps.go.id)	Bappeda, BPS	
2	Nilai GINI rasio di Kabupaten (angka)	N/A		BPS	
3	Nilai PDB Kabupaten pertahun (angka)			BPS	
	- PDRB menurut Harga Berlaku (jutaan Rupiah)	Tahun 2018 = Rp.84.673.931	BPS Kabupaten Siak (https://siakkab.bps.go.id)		
	- PDRB menurut Harga Konstan 2010 (jutaan Rupiah)	Tahun 2018 = Rp.52.615.037			
4	Apa aktivitas utama perekonomian di Kabupaten? (teks / daftar)	Selama 5 tahun terakhir (2014-2018) struktur perekonomian Kab.Siak didominasi oleh 5 kategori lapangan usaha yaitu: Industri pengolahan, Pertambangan dan penggalian, konstruksi, dan perdagangan besar dan ecaran, koperasi mobil dan sepeda motor untuk lebih jelasnya dapat dilihat pada buku Produk Domestik Regional Bruto Kab.Siak menurut lapangan usaha 2014-2018.	BPS Kabupaten Siak (https://siakkab.bps.go.id)	Sekda - Bagian Perekonomian	Data pendukung belum diunggah

21 November 2021